

WHAT IS A MULTI-MOMENT MAP?

Andrew Swann

IMADA / CP³-Origins
University of Southern Denmark
swann@imada.sdu.dk

September 2010 / Porto

WHAT IS A MULTI-MOMENT MAP?

Andrew Swann

IMADA / CP³-Origins
University of Southern Denmark
swann@imada.sdu.dk

September 2010 / Porto

Joint work with Thomas Bruun Madsen

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

- Symplectic Geometry
- Strong Geometry
- Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

- Commuting vector fields
- Lie kernels
- Existence
- $(2,3)$ -trivial Lie algebras

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

- Symplectic Geometry
- Strong Geometry
- Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

- Commuting vector fields
- Lie kernels
- Existence
- $(2,3)$ -trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

- Reduction
- Conformal geometry

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves ω ,

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves ω , then

$$0 = L_X \omega = X \lrcorner d\omega + d(X \lrcorner \omega) = d(X \lrcorner \omega).$$

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves ω , then

$$0 = L_X \omega = X \lrcorner d\omega + d(X \lrcorner \omega) = d(X \lrcorner \omega).$$

So the one-form $X \lrcorner \omega$ is $d\mu_X$,

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves ω , then

$$0 = L_X \omega = X \lrcorner d\omega + d(X \lrcorner \omega) = d(X \lrcorner \omega).$$

So the one-form $X \lrcorner \omega$ is $d\mu_X$, for some local function μ_X .

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves ω , then

$$0 = L_X \omega = X \lrcorner d\omega + d(X \lrcorner \omega) = d(X \lrcorner \omega).$$

So the one-form $X \lrcorner \omega$ is $d\mu_X$, for some local function μ_X .

DEFINITION

A *moment map* for an action of G on M that preserves ω is an equivariant map

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND MOMENT MAPS

(M, ω) is *symplectic* if $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and non-degenerate.

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves ω , then

$$0 = L_X \omega = X \lrcorner d\omega + d(X \lrcorner \omega) = d(X \lrcorner \omega).$$

So the one-form $X \lrcorner \omega$ is $d\mu_X$, for some local function μ_X .

DEFINITION

A *moment map* for an action of G on M that preserves ω is an equivariant map

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

such that $d\langle \mu, X \rangle = X \lrcorner \omega$, for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta} \mathbf{z}$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$ is symplectic with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= dq^1 \wedge dp_1 + \cdots + dq^n \wedge dp_n \\ &= d\theta, \quad \theta(W)_\alpha = \alpha(\pi_* W).\end{aligned}$$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$ is symplectic with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= dq^1 \wedge dp_1 + \cdots + dq^n \wedge dp_n \\ &= d\theta, \quad \theta(W)_\alpha = \alpha(\pi_* W).\end{aligned}$$

Any $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$ admits a
moment map,

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$ is symplectic with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= dq^1 \wedge dp_1 + \cdots + dq^n \wedge dp_n \\ &= d\theta, \quad \theta(W)_\alpha = \alpha(\pi_* W). \end{aligned}$$

Any $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$ admits a
 moment map, $\mu_X = \theta(X).$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$ is symplectic with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= dq^1 \wedge dp_1 + \cdots + dq^n \wedge dp_n \\ &= d\theta, \quad \theta(W)_\alpha = \alpha(\pi_*W).\end{aligned}$$

Any $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$ admits a
 moment map, $\mu_X = \theta(X).$

COADJOINT ORBITS

$$\mathcal{O} = G \cdot \theta_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$ is symplectic with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= dq^1 \wedge dp_1 + \cdots + dq^n \wedge dp_n \\ &= d\theta, \quad \theta(W)_\alpha = \alpha(\pi_*W).\end{aligned}$$

Any $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$ admits a
 moment map, $\mu_X = \theta(X).$

COADJOINT ORBITS

$\mathcal{O} = G \cdot \theta_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ has Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form

$$\omega(X, Y)_\theta = \theta([X, Y]), \quad \theta \in \mathcal{O}, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

SYMPLECTIC EXAMPLES

FLAT SPACE

$M = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j \wedge dy^j.$$

Circle action $\mathbf{z} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{z}$ has
 $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$

COTANGENT BUNDLE

$M = T^*N$ is symplectic with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= dq^1 \wedge dp_1 + \cdots + dq^n \wedge dp_n \\ &= d\theta, \quad \theta(W)_\alpha = \alpha(\pi_*W).\end{aligned}$$

Any $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$ admits a
 moment map, $\mu_X = \theta(X).$

COADJOINT ORBITS

$\mathcal{O} = G \cdot \theta_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ has Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form

$$\omega(X, Y)_\theta = \theta([X, Y]), \quad \theta \in \mathcal{O}, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The moment map $\mu: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is just inclusion.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- ① Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
- $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- ① Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
- $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- ① Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
- $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- 2 Classification Theorems:

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- 2 Classification Theorems:
 - Homogeneous symplectic manifolds, homogeneous Kähler;

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic,
 $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- 2 Classification Theorems:
 - Homogeneous symplectic manifolds, homogeneous Kähler;
 - Cohomogeneity one hyperKähler, quaternionic Kähler;

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic, $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- 2 Classification Theorems:
 - Homogeneous symplectic manifolds, homogeneous Kähler;
 - Cohomogeneity one hyperKähler, quaternionic Kähler;
 - contact manifolds, twistor spaces, 3-Sasaki manifolds with large symmetry.

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- ① Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic, $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- ② Classification Theorems:
 - Homogeneous symplectic manifolds, homogeneous Kähler;
 - Cohomogeneity one hyperKähler, quaternionic Kähler;
 - contact manifolds, twistor spaces, 3-Sasaki manifolds with large symmetry.
- ③ Constructions:

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- ① Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic, $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- ② Classification Theorems:
 - Homogeneous symplectic manifolds, homogeneous Kähler;
 - Cohomogeneity one hyperKähler, quaternionic Kähler;
 - contact manifolds, twistor spaces, 3-Sasaki manifolds with large symmetry.
- ③ Constructions:
 - toric varieties: $G = T^n$, $\dim M = 2n$, $\mu: M \rightarrow \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a convex polytope;

USES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

- 1 Reduction: $N = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is symplectic, $\dim N = \dim M - 2 \dim G$ if G acts freely.
 - $\mathbb{C}P(n) = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} // S^1$.
 - $T^*N // G = T^*(N/G)$.
 - extensions to Kähler, hyperKähler, etc.
 - gauge theory moduli spaces.
- 2 Classification Theorems:
 - Homogeneous symplectic manifolds, homogeneous Kähler;
 - Cohomogeneity one hyperKähler, quaternionic Kähler;
 - contact manifolds, twistor spaces, 3-Sasaki manifolds with large symmetry.
- 3 Constructions:
 - toric varieties: $G = T^n$, $\dim M = 2n$, $\mu: M \rightarrow \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a convex polytope;
 - cuts, implosions.

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

- Target space is a vector space independent of M .

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

- Target space is a vector space independent of M .
- μ exists if either

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

- Target space is a vector space independent of M .
- μ exists if either
 - ① G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

- Target space is a vector space independent of M .
- μ exists if either
 - ① G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
 - ② M is compact, with $b_1(M) = 0$,

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

- Target space is a vector space independent of M .
- μ exists if either
 - ① G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
 - ② M is compact, with $b_1(M) = 0$,
 - ③ $\omega = d\theta$ with θ invariant under G , or

KEY PROPERTIES OF SYMPLECTIC MOMENT MAPS

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

- Target space is a vector space independent of M .
- μ exists if either
 - ① G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
 - ② M is compact, with $b_1(M) = 0$,
 - ③ $\omega = d\theta$ with θ invariant under G , or
 - ④ G is semi-simple.

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry

Strong Geometry

Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields

Lie kernels

Existence

(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction

Conformal geometry

STRONG GEOMETRY

STRONG GEOMETRY

DEFINITION

(M, c) forms a *strong geometry* if $c \in \Omega^3(M)$ is closed, $dc = 0$.

STRONG GEOMETRY

DEFINITION

(M, c) forms a *strong geometry* if $c \in \Omega^3(M)$ is closed, $dc = 0$.

The geometry is *2-plectic* (Baez, Hoffnung, and Rogers, 2010) if c is non-degenerate, in the sense that $X \lrcorner c = 0$ only for $X = 0$.

STRONG GEOMETRY

DEFINITION

(M, c) forms a *strong geometry* if $c \in \Omega^3(M)$ is closed, $dc = 0$.

The geometry is *2-plectic* (Baez, Hoffnung, and Rogers, 2010) if c is non-degenerate, in the sense that $X \lrcorner c = 0$ only for $X = 0$.

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N,$$

STRONG GEOMETRY

DEFINITION

(M, c) forms a *strong geometry* if $c \in \Omega^3(M)$ is closed, $dc = 0$.

The geometry is *2-plectic* (Baez, Hoffnung, and Rogers, 2010) if c is non-degenerate, in the sense that $X \lrcorner c = 0$ only for $X = 0$.

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N,$$

$$c = \sum_{i < j} dq^i \wedge dq^j \wedge dp_{ij}$$

$$= d\beta, \quad \beta_\alpha(U, V) = \alpha(\pi_* U, \pi_* V)$$

STRONG GEOMETRY

DEFINITION

(M, c) forms a *strong geometry* if $c \in \Omega^3(M)$ is closed, $dc = 0$.

The geometry is *2-plectic* (Baez, Hoffnung, and Rogers, 2010) if c is non-degenerate, in the sense that $X \lrcorner c = 0$ only for $X = 0$.

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N,$$

$$c = \sum_{i < j} dq^i \wedge dq^j \wedge dp_{ij}$$

$$= d\beta, \quad \beta_\alpha(U, V) = \alpha(\pi_* U, \pi_* V)$$

is 2-plectic.

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$ with the same geodesics as ∇^{LC} .

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$ with the same geodesics as ∇^{LC} .

- $M = G/K$ isotropy irreducible, $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$.

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$ with the same geodesics as ∇^{LC} .

- $M = G/K$ isotropy irreducible, $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$.
- Strong KT geometry: (M, g, I, F_I) Hermitian, $c = -IdF_I$.
Gauduchon (1984) every compact Hermitian M^4 is conformally SKT.

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$ with the same geodesics as ∇^{LC} .

- $M = G/K$ isotropy irreducible, $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$.
- Strong KT geometry: (M, g, I, F_I) Hermitian, $c = -IdF_I$.
Gauduchon (1984) every compact Hermitian M^4 is conformally SKT.

Other examples of strong geometries include:

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$ with the same geodesics as ∇^{LC} .

- $M = G/K$ isotropy irreducible, $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$.
- Strong KT geometry: (M, g, I, F_I) Hermitian, $c = -IdF_I$.
Gauduchon (1984) every compact Hermitian M^4 is conformally SKT.

Other examples of strong geometries include:

- Holonomy G_2 manifolds.

EXAMPLES OF STRONG GEOMETRIES

STRONG GEOMETRIES WITH TORSION

(M, g, c) , g Riemannian defines

$$\nabla = \nabla^{\text{LC}} + \frac{1}{2}c,$$

a metric connection $\nabla g = 0$ with the same geodesics as ∇^{LC} .

- $M = G/K$ isotropy irreducible, $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$.
- Strong KT geometry: (M, g, I, F_I) Hermitian, $c = -IdF_I$.
Gauduchon (1984) every compact Hermitian M^4 is conformally SKT.

Other examples of strong geometries include:

- Holonomy G_2 manifolds.
- Hermitian manifolds, $c = dF_I$.

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c ,

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

So the two-form $X \lrcorner c$ is $d\alpha_X$

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

So the two-form $X \lrcorner c$ is $d\alpha_X$ for some local one-form α_X .

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

So the two-form $X \lrcorner c$ is $d\alpha_X$ for some local one-form α_X .

DEFINITION

A *covariant moment map* for an action of G on M that preserves c is

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

So the two-form $X \lrcorner c$ is $d\alpha_X$ for some local one-form α_X .

DEFINITION

A *covariant moment map* for an action of G on M that preserves c is an equivariant map

$$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$$

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

If X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

So the two-form $X \lrcorner c$ is $d\alpha_X$ for some local one-form α_X .

DEFINITION

A *covariant moment map* for an action of G on M that preserves c is an equivariant map

$$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$$

such that $d\langle \alpha, X \rangle = X \lrcorner c$, for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS: DISCUSSION

$$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}) \text{ with } d\langle \alpha, X \rangle = X \lrcorner c.$$

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS: DISCUSSION

$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ with $d\langle \alpha, X \rangle = X \lrcorner c$.

- Definition introduced and studied by Cariñena, Crampin, and Ibort (1991) and by Gotay, Isenberg, Marsden, and Montgomery (1998).

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS: DISCUSSION

$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ with $d\langle \alpha, X \rangle = X \lrcorner c$.

- Definition introduced and studied by Cariñena, Crampin, and Ibort (1991) and by Gotay, Isenberg, Marsden, and Montgomery (1998).
- Problems include:

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS: DISCUSSION

$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ with $d\langle \alpha, X \rangle = X \lrcorner c$.

- Definition introduced and studied by Cariñena, Crampin, and Ibort (1991) and by Gotay, Isenberg, Marsden, and Montgomery (1998).
- Problems include:
 - 1 Target space $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ depends both on M and \mathfrak{g} .

COVARIANT MOMENT MAPS: DISCUSSION

$\alpha: M \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ with $d\langle \alpha, X \rangle = X \lrcorner c$.

- Definition introduced and studied by Cariñena, Crampin, and Ibort (1991) and by Gotay, Isenberg, Marsden, and Montgomery (1998).
- Problems include:
 - 1 Target space $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ depends both on M and \mathfrak{g} .
 - 2 Existence often requires some restrictive assumption such as $b_2(M) = 0$.

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

Now suppose Y preserves both X and c :

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

Now suppose Y preserves both X and c :

$$[X, Y] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_Y c = 0.$$

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

Now suppose Y preserves both X and c :

$$[X, Y] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_Y c = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = L_Y(X \lrcorner c) = Y \lrcorner d(X \lrcorner c) + d(Y \lrcorner X \lrcorner c) = dc(X, Y, \cdot).$$

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

Now suppose Y preserves both X and c :

$$[X, Y] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_Y c = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = L_Y(X \lrcorner c) = Y \lrcorner d(X \lrcorner c) + d(Y \lrcorner X \lrcorner c) = dc(X, Y, \cdot).$$

So the one-form $c(X, Y, \cdot)$ is $dv_{X,Y}$

COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS

(M, c) strong ($dc = 0$).

BASIC CALCULATION

Suppose X preserves c , then

$$0 = L_X c = X \lrcorner dc + d(X \lrcorner c) = d(X \lrcorner c).$$

Now suppose Y preserves both X and c :

$$[X, Y] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_Y c = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = L_Y(X \lrcorner c) = Y \lrcorner d(X \lrcorner c) + d(Y \lrcorner X \lrcorner c) = dc(X, Y, \cdot).$$

So the one-form $c(X, Y, \cdot)$ is $dv_{X,Y}$ for some local function $v_{X,Y}$.

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

LIE KERNELS

DEFINITION

The *Lie kernel* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is

LIE KERNELS

DEFINITION

The *Lie kernel* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}).$$

LIE KERNELS

DEFINITION

The *Lie kernel* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}).$$

A typical element of $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{p} = X_1 \wedge Y_1 + \cdots + X_r \wedge Y_r$$

LIE KERNELS

DEFINITION

The *Lie kernel* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}).$$

A typical element of $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{p} = X_1 \wedge Y_1 + \cdots + X_r \wedge Y_r$$

with

$$\sum_{i=1}^r [X_i, Y_i] = 0.$$

LIE KERNELS

DEFINITION

The Lie kernel $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}).$$

A typical element of $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{p} = X_1 \wedge Y_1 + \cdots + X_r \wedge Y_r$$

with

$$\sum_{i=1}^r [X_i, Y_i] = 0.$$

Linearity in the basic calculation shows that

$$d(\mathfrak{p} \lrcorner c) = d\left(\sum_{i=1}^r c(X_i, Y_i, \cdot)\right) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^r [X_i, Y_i]\right) \lrcorner c = 0.$$

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$$

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$$

such that $d\langle \nu, \rho \rangle = \rho \lrcorner c$

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_g^*$$

such that $d\langle \nu, \rho \rangle = \rho \lrcorner c$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_g$.

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_g^*$$

such that $d\langle \nu, \rho \rangle = \rho \lrcorner c$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_g$.

Note that:

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$$

such that $d\langle \nu, \rho \rangle = \rho \lrcorner c$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Note that:

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \subset \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a linear subspace depending on \mathfrak{g} , not on M .

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$$

such that $d\langle \nu, \rho \rangle = \rho \lrcorner c$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Note that:

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \subset \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a linear subspace depending on \mathfrak{g} , not on M .
- For G Abelian, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}$.

MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

DEFINITION

A *multi-moment map* for G acting on M preserving c is an equivariant map

$$\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$$

such that $d\langle \nu, \rho \rangle = \rho \lrcorner c$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Note that:

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \subset \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a linear subspace depending on \mathfrak{g} , not on M .
- For G Abelian, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}$.
- For G semi-simple, $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In particular, for G compact and simple, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the isotropy representation of the isotropy irreducible space $SO(\dim \mathfrak{g})/G$.

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c .

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^$ exists if either*

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^$ exists if either*

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

- a symplectic manifold has a canonical volume form ω^n ,

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

- a symplectic manifold has a canonical volume form ω^n ,
- G is semi-simple if and only if $b_1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

- a symplectic manifold has a canonical volume form ω^n ,
- G is semi-simple if and only if $b_1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

For item 4,

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

- a symplectic manifold has a canonical volume form ω^n ,
- G is semi-simple if and only if $b_1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

For item 4, $d: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$ induces a map $d_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$;

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

- a symplectic manifold has a canonical volume form ω^n ,
- G is semi-simple if and only if $b_1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

For item 4, $d: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$ induces a map $d_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$; injective only if $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$

EXISTENCE OF MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

THEOREM

Suppose G acts on M preserving the closed three-form c . Then a multi-moment map $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ exists if either

- 1 G is compact and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 2 M is compact with a G -invariant volume form and $b_1(M) = 0$,
- 3 $c = d\beta$ with β invariant under G , or
- 4 $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g})$.

Cf. the results for symplectic moment maps, noting

- a symplectic manifold has a canonical volume form ω^n ,
- G is semi-simple if and only if $b_1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

For item 4, $d: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$ induces a map $d_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$; injective only if $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and surjective only if $b_3(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N, G \subset \text{Diff}(N).$$

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above.

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

This carries a hypercomplex structure I, J, K found by Joyce (1992)

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

This carries a hypercomplex structure I, J, K found by Joyce (1992) compatible with the bi-invariant metric.

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

This carries a hypercomplex structure I, J, K found by Joyce (1992) compatible with the bi-invariant metric. Taking $c_I = dF_I$, etc.,

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

This carries a hypercomplex structure I, J, K found by Joyce (1992) compatible with the bi-invariant metric. Taking $c_I = dF_I$, etc., case 3 above gives three multi-moment maps

$$\nu_I, \nu_J, \nu_K: M = SU(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}^*$$

for the left action of $SU(3)$.

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

This carries a hypercomplex structure I, J, K found by Joyce (1992) compatible with the bi-invariant metric. Taking $c_I = dF_I$, etc., case 3 above gives three multi-moment maps

$$\nu_I, \nu_J, \nu_K: M = SU(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}^*$$

for the left action of $SU(3)$. Each image is the homogeneous space $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3) = SU(3)/T^2$.

EXAMPLES I

EXTENDED PHASE SPACE

$M = \Lambda^2 T^*N$, $G \subset \text{Diff}(N)$. Here $c = d\beta$, so we are case 3 above. This has a multi-moment map with $\nu(p) = \beta(p)$.

$$M^8 = SU(3)$$

This carries a hypercomplex structure I, J, K found by Joyce (1992) compatible with the bi-invariant metric. Taking $c_I = dF_I$, etc., case 3 above gives three multi-moment maps

$$\nu_I, \nu_J, \nu_K: M = SU(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{su}(3)}^*$$

for the left action of $SU(3)$. Each image is the homogeneous space $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3) = SU(3)/T^2$. We get an injection

$$(\nu_I, \nu_J, \nu_K): SU(3) \hookrightarrow (F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3))^3.$$

EXAMPLES II

 $M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

EXAMPLES II

 $M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$,
 $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$.

EXAMPLES II

$M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$,
 $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.

EXAMPLES II

$M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$,
 $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.
 $SU(3)$ acts on the left,

EXAMPLES II

$M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$,
 $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.

$SU(3)$ acts on the left, but c is 0 on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$. So although $\nu_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$
exists, it is trivial.

EXAMPLES II

 $M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$, $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.

$SU(3)$ acts on the left, but c is 0 on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$. So although $\nu_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$ exists, it is trivial.

Instead, take $G = SU(3) \times U(1)$ acting as $(g, z) \cdot A = gAz^{-1}$.

EXAMPLES II

 $M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$, $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.

$SU(3)$ acts on the left, but c is 0 on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$. So although $\nu_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$ exists, it is trivial.

Instead, take $G = SU(3) \times U(1)$ acting as $(g, z) \cdot A = gAz^{-1}$.
Now

$$\ker \nu_* = [\mathfrak{su}(3), \mathfrak{u}(1)]^\perp \cong \mathfrak{u}(2)$$

EXAMPLES II

 $M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$, $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.

$SU(3)$ acts on the left, but c is 0 on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$. So although $\nu_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$ exists, it is trivial.

Instead, take $G = SU(3) \times U(1)$ acting as $(g, z) \cdot A = gAz^{-1}$.
Now

$$\ker \nu_* = [\mathfrak{su}(3), \mathfrak{u}(1)]^\perp \cong \mathfrak{u}(2)$$

and

$$\nu: SU(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P(2) \subset \mathfrak{su}(3) \subset \mathfrak{su}(3) + \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)+\mathfrak{u}(1)}$$

EXAMPLES II

 $M^8 = SU(3)$ AGAIN

carries a bi-invariant closed 3-form $c(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$, $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$. M^8 is simply-connected, so $b_1(M) = 0$.

$SU(3)$ acts on the left, but c is 0 on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$. So although $\nu_{\mathfrak{su}(3)}$ exists, it is trivial.

Instead, take $G = SU(3) \times U(1)$ acting as $(g, z) \cdot A = gAz^{-1}$.
Now

$$\ker \nu_* = [\mathfrak{su}(3), \mathfrak{u}(1)]^\perp \cong \mathfrak{u}(2)$$

and

$$\nu: SU(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P(2) \subset \mathfrak{su}(3) \subset \mathfrak{su}(3) + \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)+\mathfrak{u}(1)}$$

is the description of $SU(3)$ as a hypercomplex (HKT) Swann bundle over the quaternionic Kähler $\mathbb{C}P(2)$.

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits
 $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$.

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective.

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$,

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified and the inclusion \mathcal{O}_{β}

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified and the inclusion \mathcal{O}_{β} induces the multi-moment map for the strong geometry on G/H .

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified and the inclusion \mathcal{O}_{β} induces the multi-moment map for the strong geometry on G/H .

THEOREM

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, each $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^$ arises as the image of a multi-moment map for a strong geometry.*

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified and the inclusion \mathcal{O}_{β} induces the multi-moment map for the strong geometry on G/H .

THEOREM

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, each $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^$ arises as the image of a multi-moment map for a strong geometry. That geometry may be realised on \mathcal{O}_{β} if and only if*

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified and the inclusion \mathcal{O}_{β} induces the multi-moment map for the strong geometry on G/H .

THEOREM

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, each $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^$ arises as the image of a multi-moment map for a strong geometry. That geometry may be realised on \mathcal{O}_{β} if and only if $\text{Lie stab}_G \beta = \ker d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$.*

EXAMPLES III

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ORBITS

Homogeneous strong manifolds $(G/H, c)$ fibre over orbits $G \cdot \Psi$ in $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ via $c_{eH}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, Y, Z)$. Holds for any Ψ and all $H \subset G$ closed with $\mathfrak{h} \subset \ker \Psi = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : X \lrcorner \Psi_0 = 0\}$.

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then $d_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective. For $\Psi = d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} = G \cdot \beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $G \cdot \Psi$ are identified and the inclusion \mathcal{O}_{β} induces the multi-moment map for the strong geometry on G/H .

THEOREM

If $b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, each $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^$ arises as the image of a multi-moment map for a strong geometry. That geometry may be realised on \mathcal{O}_{β} if and only if $\text{Lie stab}_G \beta = \ker d_{\mathcal{P}}\beta$. In this case \mathcal{O}_{β} is 2-plectic.*

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is (*cohomologically*) (2,3)-trivial if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *(cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial* if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *(cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial* if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *(cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial* if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent and is not a product of smaller dimensional algebras.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is (cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent and is not a product of smaller dimensional algebras. Writing $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for the derived algebra,

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is (cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent and is not a product of smaller dimensional algebras. Writing $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for the derived algebra, \mathfrak{k} is nilpotent

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is (cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent and is not a product of smaller dimensional algebras. Writing $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for the derived algebra, \mathfrak{k} is nilpotent and $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{k}$ is one-dimensional.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is (cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent and is not a product of smaller dimensional algebras. Writing $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for the derived algebra, \mathfrak{k} is nilpotent and $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{k}$ is one-dimensional.

A one-dimensional solvable extension $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}X + \mathfrak{k}$ of a nilpotent algebra \mathfrak{k} is (2,3)-trivial

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS I

DEFINITION

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is (cohomologically) (2,3)-trivial if

$$b_2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 = b_3(\mathfrak{g}).$$

THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{g} be a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable but not nilpotent and is not a product of smaller dimensional algebras. Writing $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for the derived algebra, \mathfrak{k} is nilpotent and $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{k}$ is one-dimensional.

A one-dimensional solvable extension $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}X + \mathfrak{k}$ of a nilpotent algebra \mathfrak{k} is (2,3)-trivial if and only if the fixed-point spaces $H^i(\mathfrak{k})^X$ are trivial for $i = 1, 2$ and 3.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21,\lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0,\lambda 21 + 31,-21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21,\lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0,\lambda 21 + 31,-21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$,

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21, \lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0, \lambda 21 + 31, -21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for some (2,3)-trivial algebra \mathfrak{g} .

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21, \lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0, \lambda 21 + 31, -21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for some (2,3)-trivial algebra \mathfrak{g} .
 - Nilpotent algebras of maximal rank, as studied in association with Kac-Moody algebras, fall in to this class.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21,\lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0,\lambda 21 + 31,-21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for some (2,3)-trivial algebra \mathfrak{g} .
 - Nilpotent algebras of maximal rank, as studied in association with Kac-Moody algebras, fall in to this class.
 - All nilpotent algebras of dimension at most 6 admit a positive grading.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21,\lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0,\lambda 21 + 31,-21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for some (2,3)-trivial algebra \mathfrak{g} .
 - Nilpotent algebras of maximal rank, as studied in association with Kac-Moody algebras, fall in to this class.
 - All nilpotent algebras of dimension at most 6 admit a positive grading.
- There exist 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras \mathfrak{n} with $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n})$ nilpotent.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31,31)$,
 - $(0,21,\lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0,\lambda 21 + 31,-21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for some (2,3)-trivial algebra \mathfrak{g} .
 - Nilpotent algebras of maximal rank, as studied in association with Kac-Moody algebras, fall in to this class.
 - All nilpotent algebras of dimension at most 6 admit a positive grading.
- There exist 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras \mathfrak{n} with $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n})$ nilpotent. These can not be the derived algebra of a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra.

(2,3)-TRIVIAL LIE ALGEBRAS II

- Using the theorem it easy to classify the (2,3)-trivial algebras in small dimensions. Up to dimension 3 we have
 - $(0,21)$,
 - $(0,21 + 31, 31)$,
 - $(0,21, \lambda 31)$, $|\lambda| \in (0,1)$,
 - $(0, \lambda 21 + 31, -21 + \lambda 31)$, $\lambda \geq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{k} admits a positive grading $\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{k}_i$, $[\mathfrak{k}_i, \mathfrak{k}_j] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}'$ for some (2,3)-trivial algebra \mathfrak{g} .
 - Nilpotent algebras of maximal rank, as studied in association with Kac-Moody algebras, fall in to this class.
 - All nilpotent algebras of dimension at most 6 admit a positive grading.
- There exist 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras \mathfrak{n} with $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n})$ nilpotent. These can not be the derived algebra of a (2,3)-trivial Lie algebra.
- There exist unimodular (2,3)-trivial Lie groups admitting compact discrete quotients ($\dim G \geq 5$).

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 ,

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 , meaning that $d\phi = 0$, $d*\phi = 0$ and that at each point there is an orthonormal coframe such that

$$\phi = e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} + e_{246} - e_{257} - e_{356} - e_{347}.$$

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 , meaning that $d\phi = 0$, $d*\phi = 0$ and that at each point there is an orthonormal coframe such that

$$\phi = e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} + e_{246} - e_{257} - e_{356} - e_{347}.$$

The metric g is then Ricci-flat with holonomy contained in G_2 .

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 , meaning that $d\phi = 0$, $d*\phi = 0$ and that at each point there is an orthonormal coframe such that

$$\phi = e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} + e_{246} - e_{257} - e_{356} - e_{347}.$$

The metric g is then Ricci-flat with holonomy contained in G_2 . Suppose T^2 acts preserving the G_2 -structure,

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 , meaning that $d\phi = 0$, $d*\phi = 0$ and that at each point there is an orthonormal coframe such that

$$\phi = e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} + e_{246} - e_{257} - e_{356} - e_{347}.$$

The metric g is then Ricci-flat with holonomy contained in G_2 . Suppose T^2 acts preserving the G_2 -structure, generated by U_i . Then a multi-moment map ν exists, e.g. if $b_1(M) = 0$,

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 , meaning that $d\phi = 0$, $d*\phi = 0$ and that at each point there is an orthonormal coframe such that

$$\phi = e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} + e_{246} - e_{257} - e_{356} - e_{347}.$$

The metric g is then Ricci-flat with holonomy contained in G_2 . Suppose T^2 acts preserving the G_2 -structure, generated by U_i . Then a multi-moment map ν exists, e.g. if $b_1(M) = 0$, and

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge d\nu + d\nu \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i$$

G_2 STRUCTURES WITH TORUS SYMMETRY

Let (M^7, g, ϕ) be a manifold with holonomy G_2 , meaning that $d\phi = 0$, $d*\phi = 0$ and that at each point there is an orthonormal coframe such that

$$\phi = e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} + e_{246} - e_{257} - e_{356} - e_{347}.$$

The metric g is then Ricci-flat with holonomy contained in G_2 . Suppose T^2 acts preserving the G_2 -structure, generated by U_i . Then a multi-moment map ν exists, e.g. if $b_1(M) = 0$, and

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge d\nu + d\nu \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i$$

with

$$\omega_0 = U_1 \lrcorner U_2 \lrcorner * \phi, \quad \omega_i = U_i \lrcorner \phi, \quad \ell^2 = \|U_1 \wedge U_2\|^2.$$

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$.

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X}

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X} is a principal T^2 -bundle over M^4

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X} is a principal T^2 -bundle over M^4 with θ_i as connection one forms.

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X} is a principal T^2 -bundle over M^4 with θ_i as connection one forms. The forms ω_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$, descend to M^4

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X} is a principal T^2 -bundle over M^4 with θ_i as connection one forms. The forms ω_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$, descend to M^4 as pointwise linearly independent symplectic forms

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X} is a principal T^2 -bundle over M^4 with θ_i as connection one forms. The forms ω_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$, descend to M^4 as pointwise linearly independent symplectic forms that are self-dual for the induced metric.

REDUCTION OF G_2 STRUCTURES

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0 \wedge dv + dv \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i \wedge \theta_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \nu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose T^2 acts freely and put $M = \mathcal{X}/T^2$.

PROPOSITION

The half-flat $SU(3)$ -manifold \mathcal{X} is a principal T^2 -bundle over M^4 with θ_i as connection one forms. The forms ω_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$, descend to M^4 as pointwise linearly independent symplectic forms that are self-dual for the induced metric.

One has

$$\frac{1}{\ell^2} \omega_0^2 = \frac{1}{\|U_i\|^2} \omega_i^2 = 2 \operatorname{vol}_M,$$

$$\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0, \quad \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 2 \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle \operatorname{vol}_M.$$

OUTLINE

1 BACKGROUND

Symplectic Geometry
Strong Geometry
Covariant Moment Maps

2 MULTI-MOMENT MAPS

Commuting vector fields
Lie kernels
Existence
(2,3)-trivial Lie algebras

3 G_2 HOLONOMY

Reduction
Conformal geometry

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation.

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω .

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω . Suppose g is positive definite, $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and that $Q = (\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,2}$ is positive definite.

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω . Suppose g is positive definite, $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and that $Q = (\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,2}$ is positive definite.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$ be a T^2 -bundle with connection one-forms θ_i , $i = 1, 2$.

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω . Suppose g is positive definite, $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and that $Q = (\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,2}$ is positive definite.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$ be a T^2 -bundle with connection one-forms θ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ is positive.

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω . Suppose g is positive definite, $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and that $Q = (\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,2}$ is positive definite.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$ be a T^2 -bundle with connection one-forms θ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ is positive. Then

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_0 + \lambda \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2, \quad \psi_+ = \omega_1 \wedge \theta_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω . Suppose g is positive definite, $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and that $Q = (\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,2}$ is positive definite.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$ be a T^2 -bundle with connection one-forms θ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ is positive. Then

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_0 + \lambda \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2, \quad \psi_+ = \omega_1 \wedge \theta_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

defines a half-flat $SU(3)$ -structure on \mathcal{X} if and only if

CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

Putting $\Lambda_+ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2\}$ defines a conformal structure \mathcal{C}_ω on M^4 .

PROPOSITION

Suppose ω_j are symplectic forms on M defining the same orientation. Let g be a metric in the conformal class \mathcal{C}_ω . Suppose g is positive definite, $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and that $Q = (\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,2}$ is positive definite.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$ be a T^2 -bundle with connection one-forms θ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ is positive. Then

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_0 + \lambda \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2, \quad \psi_+ = \omega_1 \wedge \theta_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \theta_2,$$

defines a half-flat $SU(3)$ -structure on \mathcal{X} if and only if $(d\theta_1^+, d\theta_2^+) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)A$ with $\langle A, Q \rangle = 0$.

LIFTING

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists,

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$ whose multi-moment reduction is the given data on M^4 .

EXAMPLE

$M^4 \rightarrow T^4/\{\pm 1\}$ a Kummer surface, with $\omega_c = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ complex symplectic and integral.

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$ whose multi-moment reduction is the given data on M^4 .

EXAMPLE

$M^4 \rightarrow T^4/\{\pm 1\}$ a Kummer surface, with $\omega_c = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ complex symplectic and integral. Let ω_0 be *any* compatible Kähler form.

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$ whose multi-moment reduction is the given data on M^4 .

EXAMPLE

$M^4 \rightarrow T^4/\{\pm 1\}$ a Kummer surface, with $\omega_c = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ complex symplectic and integral. Let ω_0 be *any* compatible Kähler form. Then the T^2 -bundle with curvatures $(\omega_2, -\omega_1)$ carries half-flat $SU(3)$ -structures on its total space for each choice of compatible conformal structure on M^4 .

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t)$, $\dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$ whose multi-moment reduction is the given data on M^4 .

EXAMPLE

$M^4 \rightarrow T^4/\{\pm 1\}$ a Kummer surface, with $\omega_c = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ complex symplectic and integral. Let ω_0 be *any* compatible Kähler form. Then the T^2 -bundle with curvatures $(\omega_2, -\omega_1)$ carries half-flat $SU(3)$ -structures on its total space for each choice of compatible conformal structure on M^4 . Any analytic choice of ω_1 gives a flow to a holonomy G_2 -metric.

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$ whose multi-moment reduction is the given data on M^4 .

EXAMPLE

$M^4 \rightarrow T^4/\{\pm 1\}$ a Kummer surface, with $\omega_c = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ complex symplectic and integral. Let ω_0 be *any* compatible Kähler form. Then the T^2 -bundle with curvatures $(\omega_2, -\omega_1)$ carries half-flat $SU(3)$ -structures on its total space for each choice of compatible conformal structure on M^4 . Any analytic choice of ω_1 gives a flow to a holonomy G_2 -metric.

More general than Apostolov and Salamon (2004): we do not need a hyperKähler triple ω_i .

LIFTING

If the Hitchin flow $\dot{\psi}_+(t) = d\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}^2(t) = -d\psi_-(t)$ with initial data (X, σ, ψ_+) exists, then the Hitchin flow defines a holonomy G_2 -structure on $X \times (a, b)$ whose multi-moment reduction is the given data on M^4 .

EXAMPLE

$M^4 \rightarrow T^4/\{\pm 1\}$ a Kummer surface, with $\omega_c = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$ complex symplectic and integral. Let ω_0 be *any* compatible Kähler form. Then the T^2 -bundle with curvatures $(\omega_2, -\omega_1)$ carries half-flat $SU(3)$ -structures on its total space for each choice of compatible conformal structure on M^4 . Any analytic choice of ω_1 gives a flow to a holonomy G_2 -metric.

More general than Apostolov and Salamon (2004): we do not need a hyperKähler triple ω_i . Donaldson (2006) asks whether the underlying compact manifold is always hyperKähler.

SUMMARY

SUMMARY

- Multi-moment maps are defined $\nu: (M, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g})$.

SUMMARY

- Multi-moment maps are defined $\nu: (M, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g})$.
- These take values in a vector space and exist under weak topological assumptions on M or under cohomological assumptions on \mathfrak{g} .

SUMMARY

- Multi-moment maps are defined $\nu: (M, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g})$.
- These take values in a vector space and exist under weak topological assumptions on M or under cohomological assumptions on \mathfrak{g} .
- Homogeneous examples may be described via orbits in $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*$.

SUMMARY

- Multi-moment maps are defined $\nu: (M, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g})$.
- These take values in a vector space and exist under weak topological assumptions on M or under cohomological assumptions on \mathfrak{g} .
- Homogeneous examples may be described via orbits in $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*$.
- $(2, 3)$ -trivial Lie algebras may be classified in small dimensions and described and as certain one-dimensional solvable extensions of nilpotent algebras in general.

SUMMARY

- Multi-moment maps are defined $\nu: (M, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \ker([\cdot, \cdot]: \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g})$.
- These take values in a vector space and exist under weak topological assumptions on M or under cohomological assumptions on \mathfrak{g} .
- Homogeneous examples may be described via orbits in $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*$.
- $(2, 3)$ -trivial Lie algebras may be classified in small dimensions and described as certain one-dimensional solvable extensions of nilpotent algebras in general.
- G_2 holonomy manifolds with T^2 -symmetry correspond via multi-moment map reduction to conformal data on M^4 defined by a certain type of triple of symplectic forms.

REFERENCES I

- V. Apostolov and S. Salamon. Kähler reduction of metrics with holonomy G_2 . *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 246(1):43–61, March 2004.
- John C. Baez, Alexander E. Hoffnung, and Christopher L. Rogers. Categorized symplectic geometry and the classical string. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 293(3):701–725, 2010. ISSN 0010-3616. doi: 10.1007/s00220-009-0951-9. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-009-0951-9>.
- J. F. Cariñena, M. Crampin, and L. A. Ibort. On the multisymplectic formalism for first order field theories. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 1(4):345–374, 1991. ISSN 0926-2245. doi: 10.1016/0926-2245(91)90013-Y. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245\(91\)90013-Y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245(91)90013-Y).

REFERENCES II

- S. K. Donaldson. Two-forms on four-manifolds and elliptic equations. In *Inspired by S. S. Chern*, volume 11 of *Nankai Tracts Math.*, pages 153–172. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- P. Gauduchon. La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte. *Math. Ann.*, 267:495–518, 1984.
- Mark J. Gotay, James Isenberg, Jerrold E. Marsden, and Richard Montgomery. Momentum maps and classical relativistic fields. part I: Covariant field theory, January 1998. eprint arXiv:physics/9801019 [math-ph].
- D. Joyce. Compact hypercomplex and quaternionic manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 35:743–761, 1992.